



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

2
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/020,735	12/12/2001	Craig A. Shoemaker	0052.01	9740
25712	7590	12/03/2003	EXAMINER	
USDA-ARS-OFFICE OF TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER NATIONAL CTR FOR AGRICULTURAL UTILIZATION RESEARCH 1815 N. UNIVERSITY STREET PEORIA, IL 61604			SHANNAN SHAH, KHATOL S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1645	

DATE MAILED: 12/03/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/020,735

Applicant(s)

SHOEMAKER ET AL.

Examiner

Khatol S Shahnan-Shah

Art Unit

1645

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 October 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-15 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☒ Claim(s) 1-15 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicants' amendment of 10/10/2003 is acknowledged. Claims 5 and 6 have been amended.

Election/Restrictions

2. Applicants' response to restriction requirement of September 16, 2003, is acknowledged.

Applicants elected group I, (claims 1-9) with traverse, which is drawn to a composition.

Applicants argue, " The premise upon which the examiner has based the restriction requirement is

seen to be faulty in that he claim that "bacteria can be used in assays such as propagation of bacterial strains and immunoassays is very speculative and the examiner presents no rationale why one would want to propagate the strain itself. The examiner has also presented no basis for expecting such an immunoassay to be operable."

Applicants' arguments have been carefully considered, but they are not persuasive. It is the examiner's position that bacteria are routinely used for immunoassays and these immunoassays have been operable. The requirement is still deemed proper and therefore made **FINAL**.

3. Claims 1-15 are pending in this application. Claims 10-15, are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to non-elected group II.
4. Claims 1-9 are under consideration.

Specification

5. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Page 1 of the specification includes a certificate of mailing stamp. This certificate of mailing stamp cannot be part of the disclosure. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

7. Claims 2-4 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claims 2-4 and 6-8 are drawn to an attenuated strain of microorganism wherein said strain of *Flavobacterium columnare* is selected from group consisting of Accession Number NRRL-30303 and NRRL-30304

Because it is not clear that strains possessing the properties of NRRL-30303 and NRRL-30304 are known and publicly available or can be reproducibly isolated from nature without undue experimentation and because the claims require the use of is a suitable deposit for patent purposes is required. Without a public available deposit of the above strains NRRL-30303 and NRRL-30304, one of ordinary skill in the art could not be assured of the ability to practice the invention as claimed. Exact replication of the cell line is an unpredictable event.

Applicant's referral to the deposit of strain NRRL-30303 and NRRL-30304 on page 4 of the specification is an insufficient assurance that all required deposits have been made and all the conditions of 37 CFR 1.801-1.809 have been met.

Art Unit: 1645

If the deposit has been made under the provisions of the Budapest Treaty, filing of an affidavit or declaration by applicant or assignees or a statement by an attorney of record who has authority and control over the conditions of deposit over his or her signature and registration number stating that the deposit has been accepted by the International Depository Authority under the provisions of the Budapest Treaty and that all restrictions upon public access to the deposit will be irrevocably removed upon the grant of a patent on this application. These requirements are necessary when deposits are made under the provisions of the Budapest Treaty as the Treaty leaves this specific matter to the discretion of each State. Amendment of the specification to recite the date of the deposit and the complete name and full street address of the depository is required.

If the deposits have not been made under the provisions of the Budapest Treaty, then in order to certify that the deposits comply with the criteria set forth in 37 CFR 1.801-1.809, assurances regarding availability and permanency of deposits are required. Such assurance may be in the form of an affidavit or declaration by applicants or assignees or in the form of a statement by an attorney of record who has the authority and control over the conditions of deposit over his or her signature and registration number averring:

(a) during the pendency of this application, access to the deposits will be afforded to the Commissioner upon request;

(b) all restrictions upon the availability to the public of the deposited biological material will be irrevocably removed upon the granting of a patent on this application;

Art Unit: 1645

(c) the deposits will be maintained in the public repository for a period of at least thirty years from the date of deposit or for the enforceable life of the patent or for a period of five years after the date of the most recent request for the furnishing of a sample of the deposited biological material, whichever is longest; and

(d) the deposits will be replaced if they should become nonviable or non-replicable.

In addition, a deposit of biological material that is capable of self-replication either directly or indirectly must be viable at the time of deposit and during the term of deposit. Viability may be tested by the repository. The test must conclude only that the deposited material is capable of reproduction. A viability statement for each deposit of biological material not made under the Budapest Treaty must be filed in the application and must contain:

- 1) The name and address of the depository;
- 2) The name and address of the depositor;
- 3) The date of deposit;
- 4) The identity of the deposit and the accession number given by the depository;
- 5) The date of the viability test;
- 6) The procedures used to obtain a sample if test is not done by the depository; and
- 7) A statement that the deposit is capable of reproduction.

As a possible means for completing the record, applicant may submit a copy of the contract with the depository for deposit and maintenance of each deposit.

If the deposit was made after the effective filing date of the application for patent in the United States, a verified statement is required from a person in a position to corroborate that the strain NRRL-30303 and NRRL-30304 described in the specification as filed is the same as that deposited in the depository. Corroboration

Art Unit: 1645

may take the form of a showing a chain of custody from applicant to the depository coupled with corroboration that the deposit is identical to the biological material described in the specification and in the applicant's possession at the time the application was filed.

Applicants' attention is directed to In re Lundack, 773 F.2d.1216, 227 USPQ (CAFC 1985) and 37 CFR 1.801-1.809 for further information concerning deposit practice.

8. Claims 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for an attenuated strain of a bacterium treating catfish against a bacterial disease, does not reasonably provide enablement for a vaccine. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make/and or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

In the instant case claims 5-9 are drawn to a vaccine. The instant specification invites the skilled artisan to experiment. The factors, which must be considered in determining undue experimentation are set forth in In re Wands USPQ2d 14000. The factors include

- 1) quantity of experimentation necessary,
- 2) the amount of guidance presented,
- 3) the presence or absence of working examples,
- 4) the nature of the invention,
- 5) the state of the prior art,
- 6) the predictability of the art and the
- 7) breath of the claims.

With regard to factors three and seven, it is noted that the working examples are limited to the only given example in the specification in page 13, example 3 mentioning the production of a composition treating channel catfish. Such seen as insufficient to support the breath of the claims, wherein the scope of the claims 5-9 encompasses in vivo efficacy of the instantly claimed

Art Unit: 1645

compounds and/or compositions in any alleged hosts. In the instant case claims 5-9 are drawn to a vaccine. When a compound or composition claim is limited by a particular use, enablement of that claim should be evaluated base on that limitation. See *in re Vaeck*, 947 F. 2d 488, 495, 20 USPQ 2d 1438, 1444 (Fed Cir, 1991).

Dorland's Medical Dictionary (29th Edition, 2000) defines "vaccine" as "a suspension of attenuated or killed microorganisms (bacteria, viruses, or rickettsiae), or of antigenic proteins derived from them, administered for the prevention, amelioration, or treatment of infectious diseases. In the instant case the applicants' invention is not enabled for the prevention, amelioration, or treatment of all diseases of fish as claimed. And one skilled in the art will not be able to make/and or use the invention without undue experimentation. It is noted that Law requires that the disclosure of an application shall inform those skilled in the art how to use applicants' alleged discovery, not how to find out how to use it for themselves. see *In re Gardner et al.* 166 USPQ 138 (CCPA 1970).

9. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

10. Claim 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is not clear what applicants intend in recitation of limitation of "effective for eliciting an immune response in fish which is protective against infection" in claim 1.

It is not clear what applicants intend in recitation of limitation of "an effective immunization dosage the attenuated strain of" in claim 5.

Art Unit: 1645

Claims 2-4 and 6-9 are rejected as being depended from indefinite claims 1 and 5.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

11. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

12. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

13. Claims 1, 5 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Wolf-Watz et al. (US 5,284,653).

Note: Claims are drawn to a composition comprising a *Flavobacterium columnare* strain. The composition according to claims 1 and 5 is intended to be used a vaccine. However, intended use does not impart any critical impact or weight on the physical preparation and the patentability of the product.

Claims are drawn to a composition comprising an attenuated strain of *Flavobacterium columnare*, which is effective for eliciting an immune response in fish.

Wolf-Watz et al. teach a composition comprising an attenuated strain of *Flavobacterium columnare*, which is effective for eliciting an immune response in fish (see title, abstract, column

Art Unit: 1645

5, line 54-65). Wolf-Watz et al. teach rifampicin resistant bacteria (see column 10, line 15-20).

Wolf-Watz et al. teach water as carrier (see claim 7). The prior art teach the claimed invention.

Since the office does not have the facilities for examining and comparing applicants' composition with the composition of the prior art, the burden is on the applicant to show a novel or unobvious difference between the claimed composition and the composition of the prior art (i. e., that the composition of prior art does not possess the same material structure and functional characteristics of the claimed composition). See In re Best, 562 F.2 d 1252, 195 USPQ 430 (CCPA 1977) and In re Fitzgerald et al., 205 USPQ 594.

Conclusion

14. No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khatol Shahnan-Shah whose telephone number is (703) 308-8896. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM - 4 PM from Monday through Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynette F Smith, can be reached on (703) 308-3909. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned to is (703) 305-3014.


Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.



Khatol Shahnan-Shah, BS, Pharm, MS

Biotechnology Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1645, November 29, 2003



RODNEY P. SWARTZ, PH.D
PRIMARY EXAMINER